

**CERRO CORPORATION**  
AND SUBSIDIARIES | NEW YORK

## INTERNAL MEMORANDUM

SHOW NAME, TITLE AND CORPORATION OF ADDRESSEE AND ADDRESSOR

OTHER ADDRESSEES • FOR INFORMATION

JWJacobsen  
PDWeisse  
WEDunnick

144794

*File 1104*

TO: Paul Tandler, Technical Manager  
CerroCu - St. Louis Works

February 11, 1972

DATE

FROM: G. W. Vose, Engineering Office Manager  
Cerroco, N.Y.

SUBJECT: MONSANTO ENVIRO-CHEM EVALUATION AND COST ESTIMATE


Having been on jury duty this week I did not have an opportunity to consider earlier your memo of February 4.

I am forced to agree that, with present information, the cheapest course is, as you say, to have the Village do the treatment. The thought occurs to me, however, that Monsanto Enviro-Chem may not be completely objective in their evaluation for reasons which we have discussed previously.

In any event I agree that you should attempt flow reductions, wherever possible, as long as they do not require significant capital expenditures. In this connection, is there any possibility of using cooling water for quenching purposes? Probably you have considered this.

Monsanto Enviro-Chem has figured on a cooling tower. Under certain conditions a spray pond could be cheaper.

Should I have any further ideas I will give you a call.



GWV:jkn

C03397